UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,138	08/29/2003	Koichiro Tanaka	8375-006/DVA	1171
27572 7590 06/12/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EXAMINER	
			FAY, ZOHREH A	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/652,138	TANAKA, KOICHIRO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		ZOHREH A. FAY	1612			
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Resi	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 <i>M</i>a</u>	arch 2008				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
<i>′</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	parto Quayro, 1000 0.5, 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition o	f Claims					
4)⊠ Claiı	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-31 and 33-43</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)∐ Claiı	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claiı	n(s) <u>23-31 and 33-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7)∏ Claiı	n(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∏ Claiı	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application P	apers					
9) ⊟ The s	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Appl	cant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority unde	· 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Claims 23-31 and 33-43 are presented for examination.

The amendments and remarks filed on March 13, 2008 have been received and entered.

Claims 23-31 and 33-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Viegas et al. (US 5,587,175) in view of Chang (US 6,051,560) and further in view of Christ et al. (US 6,254,587) for the reasons set forth on pages 4-5 of the office action of November 14, 2007.

Applicant's arguments and declaration have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant alleges criticality to mixing the antibacterial and viscoelastic agents, and as a result preventing the bacteria from becoming enveloped by the viscoelastic agent. Applicant submits an article in his declaration to demonstrate such action. The allegation is not well taken. There is no evidence of record to demonstrate the superior activity of the antibacterial agents when mixed with viscoelastic agents in comparison to the administration antibacterial agents in combination with viscoelsatic agents. Applicant's arguments regarding the lack of the teachings of the prior art in preserving or treatment of bacterial infection have been noted. Applicant is reminded that Vegas et al. teach the use of antibiotics in combination with viscoelastic agents for ophthalmic administration as old. To preserve or treat bacterial infection is considered to be an inherent property of the antibacterial active ingredients. It is also expected that known antibacterial agents to be effective for treating the bacterial infection of topical or interior section of the eye. Applicant has presented no evidence to

establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, the prior rejection sustains.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH A. FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,138 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Z.F /Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612